



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,493	1	09/22/2003	Toshiyuki Miyadera	8014-1021-1	6875
466	7590	05/03/2004		EXAMINER	
	-	OMPSON	WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2879	
•				DATE MAILED: 05/03/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,493	MIYADERA, TOSHIYUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph L. Williams	2879
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
eriod for Reply	OD DEDLY IS SET TO EXPIDE 2.8	AONITU(S) EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication.  io) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 22 September 2003.	
•—	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practi		
isposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the	ne application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obje		
		g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	Application No. <u>09/799,000</u> .
<del></del> •	of the priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage
	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action		

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 22 September 2003.

3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_

6) Other: \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/665,493

Art Unit: 2879

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/799,000, filed on 06 March 2001. **Specification**
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The status of the parent application should be updated to read "now US Patent No. 6,656,656".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hironaka et al. (US 5,336,546).

Regarding claim 1, Hironaka ('546) teaches in column 7, lines 34-52 a light emitting device in which a light-emitting layer is composed of a material containing a polymer (as a binder).

Application/Control Number: 10/665,493

Art Unit: 2879

Please note that the limitation of the light-emitting layer being formed by electrophotography is drawn to a process of manufacturing, which is incidental to the claimed apparatus. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior art by a process limitation. Consequently, absent a showing of a an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject product-by-process claim limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Regarding claim 2, Hironaka ('546) teaches in column 7, lines 34-52 a light emitting device in which a light-emitting layer is composed of a material containing a polymer (as a binder).

Please note that the limitation of the light-emitting layer being formed by thermal transfer or sublimation is drawn to a process of manufacturing, which is incidental to the claimed apparatus. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior art by a process limitation. Consequently, absent a showing of an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject product-by-process claim limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Additionally, Hironaka ('546) teaches in column 19, example 1, that the lightemitting layer was formed by sublimation. Application/Control Number: 10/665,493

Art Unit: 2879

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-2465. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Williams

Joseph Wimam.

Examiner
Art Unit 2879